

lateral buckling restraint - attaches - steel check - creep - charges climatiques - dynamic analysis - lateral buckling - brandweerstandsanalyse - timber - 1st order - verstijvers - buisverbinding - diseño de planos de armaduras - pandeo lateral - verbindingen - shear connection - verificación - armatures longitudinales - pórtico - unión base columna - voorontwerp - unión tubular - haunch - connexion moment - cimbras - vérification acier - unity check - Eurocode 2 - mesh - retaining wall - raidisseur - Eurocode 3 - longitudes de pandeo - connections - ACI 138 - acero - 2nd ordre - portal frame - Eurocode 8 - andamios - kip - dwarskrachtverbinding - BS 8110 - dalle de fondation - seismische analyse - armaduras longitudinales - BIM - gelaste verbinding - 2de orde - buckling - funderingszool - poutre sur plusieurs appuis - maillage - malla - uniones - 2D raamwerken - fire resistance analysis - voiles - cracked deformation - gescheurde doorbuiging - longueurs de flambement - pandeo - reinforcement - unity check - cantonera - dynamische analyse - hout - ossatures 3D - koudgevormde profielen - placa de extreme - 1er orden - continuous beam - connexion soudée - momentverbinding - praktische wapening - renforts au déversement - fluencia - estribos - déformation fissurée - EHE - beugels - Eurocódigo 3 - platine de bout - análisis dinámico - column base plate - kruip - rigid link - welded connection - charpente métallique - moment connections - estructuras 2D - kniestuk - assemblage métallique - 3D raamwerken - second ordre - beam grid - cargas climáticas - Eurocode 2 - Eurocode 5 - wall - deformación fisurada - lien rigide - enlace rígido - 2D frames - estructuras 3D - éléments finis - vloerplaat - steel connection - scheurvorming - integrated connection design - armatures pratiques - analyse sismique - nieve y viento - practical reinforcement - charges mobiles - dalle - wapening - perfiles conformados en frío - Eurocode 3 - connexion tubulaire - unión a momento - 3D frames - treillis de poutres - roof truss - practical reinforcement design - portique - kipsteunen - análisis sísmico - Eurocode 8 - seismic analysis - B.A.E.L 91 - uniones atornilladas - bolts - ossatures 2D - eindige elementen - losa de cimentación - restricciones para el pandeo lateral - optimisation - wand - kniklengtes - end plate - dakspanten - kolomvoetverbinding - stirrups - acier - staalcontrole - cálculo de uniones integrado - paroi - dessin du plan de ferrillage - stiffeners - mobiele lasten - Eurocódigo 8 - Eurocódigo 5 - longitudinal reinforcement - doorlopende liggers - rigidizador - beton armé - fluage - CTE - connexion pied de poteau - langswapening - connexions - hormigón - neige et vent - elementos



finitos - armaduras - cold formed steel - jarret - uittekenen wapening - puente grúa - analyse dynamique - flambement - keerwanden - optimisation - steel - cercha - 2º orden - slab on grade foundation - entramado de vigas - Eurocode 5 - prédimensionnement - multi span beam - bouten - armatures - floor slab - poutre continue - pared - staal - 1er ordre - NEN 6770-6771 - connexion cisaillement - losa - déversement - viga continua - predimensionering - 1ste orde - unión metálica - CM 66 - madera - análisis resistencia al fuego - verbindingen - 2nd order - bois - Eurocode 2 - profilés formés à froid - verificación acero - predesign - unión soldada - fisuración - beton - muro de contención - optimalisatie - foundation pads - fissuration - concrete - AISC-LRFD - HCSS - assemblage métallique - Eurocode 3 - viga con varios apoyos - armaduras prácticas - balkenroosters - unión a cortante - buckling length - boulons - cracking - Eurocode 8 - knik - Eurocode 2 - radier - eindplaat - Eurocódigo 2 - FEM - tornillos - NEN 6720 - moving loads - balk op meerdere steunpunten - cargas móviles - funderingsplaat - étriers - analyse resistance au feu - cercha - lateral buckling restraint - attaches - steel check - creep - longueurs de flambement - pandeo - reinforcement - unity check - cantonera - cercha

© BuildSoft

Any representation or reproduction, of all or parts of this document is strictly prohibited without a prior written permission from BuildSoft.

Although the greatest care has been taken in compiling this document, neither the author(s) nor the publisher accept any liability for any damage that may directly or indirectly occur as a result of an error or incomplete information in this document.

Table of contents

1. Introduction.....	5
2. Structural fire design	6
3. Thermal loads.....	7
3.1.1. Nominal fire curves.....	7
3.1.2. Parametric fire curves.....	9
4. Design fire load.....	10
5. Thermal response.....	11
5.1. Heat transport in concrete.....	11
5.2. Heat transport in steel.....	12
5.2.1. Net heat flux h_{net}	13
5.2.2. Profile factor A_m/V	14
5.2.3. Specific heat c_a of steel.....	15
5.2.4. Shadow coefficient k_{sh}	15
6. Mechanical response.....	17
6.1. Reduced yield strength f_y and Young's modulus E for steel elements	17
6.2. Reduced compressive strength for concrete elements.....	18
6.3. Thermal expansion $\Delta l/l$ of steel and concrete.....	19
7. Fire Resistance Analysis scenario	20
8. References.....	23

1. Introduction

About the document

This document provides guidance for structural fire design according to EN 199x-1-2. This document is by no means intended to replace the text of Eurocodes and therefore only contains the basic principles. For the all the details and complete background information we refer to the complete Eurocodes.

This document presumes that the reader is familiar with basic Eurocode principles such as: load types, load combinations ULS and SLS, partial safety factors, ...

About the authors

Geert Goossens (MSc.) – CEO and founder of BuildSoft since 1989. As the lead software developer for several years, Geert knows the finest details of Eurocodes. The last years he has moved more towards building public and customer relations and he seated in several national commissions to discuss Eurocodes.

Dorien Elleboog (MSc.) – Support Engineer at BuildSoft since 2011. Taking several advanced courses per year, Dorien is always up to date with the latest progressions in Eurocodes.

Together they have together more than 35 years of experience in structural analysis and Eurocodes.

About the company




BuildSoft is Belgium's leading company in advanced **software for structural analysis** and design of **reinforced concrete, steel and timber constructions**, according to Eurocodes and American standards.
<http://www.buildsoft.eu/en>



Athena Horizons is the licensed distributor in the UK for BuildSoft software. Athena Horizons offers cost effective software and services to the Engineering and Manufacturing market place in UK and Ireland.
<https://athena-horizons.co.uk>

About the software



Diamonds

Easy to use software for structural analysis of steel, concrete and timber constructions according to Eurocode (NA BS, DE, FR, ..)

Free 30-day trial at <http://www.buildsoft.eu/en/freetrial>

2. Structural fire design

Structural fire analysis is by no means an easy task and often puts a strain even on skilled and experienced engineers. Whereas one was limited to simplified and approximating design rules used in the past, software now enables the engineer to switch to more advanced analysis techniques and to evaluate structural strength globally taking into consideration the disastrous effects of fire hazards.

Over the last decades, extensive research work has been undertaken to investigate the response of building structures to fire hazards. Those research activities have allowed to develop more advanced design analysis techniques, which have been documented in a considerable number of research reports and which have found their way into new design codes. Those advanced techniques have in the meantime proven to be indispensable when it comes to assessing fire safety levels of building structures & building components with sufficiently high confidence levels.

Fire resistance of building structures can be obtained experimentally or numerically. The numerical approach to this problem is handles in EN 199x-1-2 of the several structural Eurocodes.

Where-as EN 1991-1-2 presents the fire loads and related accidental loads combinations, the other parts 1-2 will concentrate on specific analysis rules related to the individual construction materials.

3. Thermal loads

In order to calculate the thermal response to a fire hazard, the **fire loads** must be known. To describe such type of loads, a number of different fire models are mentioned by EN 1991-1-2. The development of such models is a complex task, depending on the number of parameters that are accounted for. More complex fire models will be more computationally intensive, but will a closer match with a natural fire. The table below gives an overview of the different types of possible fire models:

Nominal fire models	Standard fire *	EN 1991-1-2 §3.2
	External fire *	
	Hydrocarbon fire *	
Basic fire models	Local fire	Annex C
	Parametric fire *	Annex A
Advanced fire models	1-zone model	Annex D
	2-zone model	
	Combined 1-zone & 2-zone model	
	Field model	

* fire models supported by the BuildSoft software

Nominal fire models and parametric fire models are described by a temperature-time curve, describing the evolution of ambient temperature as a function of time. Those fire models describe a fully developed fire hazard and assume a uniform temperature at any instant of time within the entire compartment.

While the application of nominal fire curves requires only a limited knowledge on the behaviour of a fire within a compartment, the calculation of temperatures for basic and advanced fire models will also depend on

- fire load & caloric value of all present inflammable materials, expressed in MJ/m^2 floor surface;
- ventilation conditions;
- dimension and wall composition (including possible openings) of the compartment.

Those fire models consider the entire “life cycle” of a fire hazard, including the decay phase.

3.1. Nominal fire curves

Any method based on the use of nominal fire curves requires, thanks to the simplifying hypotheses, only a limited knowledge on the behaviour of a fire hazard within a compartment. It should however be recognized that the use of such methods will deliver **fairly conservative designs** when more stringent regulations are imposed (for instance, in case the prescribed fire resistance should amount to 60 or 120 minutes).

EN 1991-1-2 §3.2 distinguishes three nominal fire curves:

- **Standard fire** (also known as ISO 834 curve)

$$\theta_g = 20 + 345 \log_{10}(8t + 1)$$

This model should be used when no extra information on the fire hazard is available. The standard fire has following characteristics:

- the fire is assumed to be active within the whole compartment, independent of the actual size of this compartment;
- the fire never decays, not even after all combustible materials have been exhausted;
- it does not take into account the phase before flashover;
- it does not depend on the compartment's fire load nor on ventilation conditions.

Despite this very conservative approach, this model is widely used thanks to its ease of use.

- External fire

$$\theta_g = 20 + 660(1 - 0,687e^{-0,32t} - 0,313e^{-3,8t})$$

This model is applicable to the external face of separating walls which are exposed to smoke coming from a fire developing within the compartment that is internal to the walls. Such a fire is characterized by less elevated temperatures and should therefore not be used for structural elements that are exterior to the compartment but that can still be exposed to higher temperatures (e.g. through openings). In this case, a different model should be used.

- Hydrocarbon fire

$$\theta_g = 20 + 1080(1 - 0,325e^{-0,167t} - 0,675e^{-2,5t})$$

This model is applicable to fire hazards which are caused by the ignition of hydrocarbons (fuel, diesel,...) and is characterized by significantly elevated temperatures.

With

- θ_g ambient (gas) temperature within the compartment or close to the separating wall [°C]
- t time [sec]

Nominal temperature-time curves are presented graphically in the figure below:




Figure 1: Temperature-time curves for a standard, an external, a hydrocarbon and a parametric fire

It is observed that both the external fire curve as well as the hydrocarbon fire curve quickly evolve to a maximum, at either 680°C or 1100°C. The standard fire curve continues to raise and delivers higher temperatures compared to the hydrocarbon curve from 180 minutes onwards.

3.2. Parametric fire curves

Parametric fires are also described by a temperature-time curve, which however depends on a considerable number of environmental parameters and therefore provides a more realistic approach to how a fire hazard develops and evolves. A parametric fire curve takes into account the compartment's ventilation conditions and thermal properties of its bounding walls. Parametric fire curves furthermore consider the fire's decay phase, thus allowing for a temperature decrease once the fire load has been exhausted. As temperatures are assumed to be uniformly distributed within the compartment, those fire models should in principle only be applied to compartments of a moderate size.

For more information on the derivation of parametric fire curves, reference is made to the (informative) annex A of EN 1991-1-2.

4. Design fire load

Design actions which should be applied to a building structure to account for fire hazards, are obtained by combining the different mechanical and thermal actions (including the fire load), using the appropriate partial safety factors and combination coefficients.

According to EN 1990, a fire hazard should be considered as an accidental design action, implying that only ultimate limit states must be evaluated.

Accidental combinations can be written as (EN 1990 §6.4.3.3):

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} G_{k,j} + A_d + (\psi_{1,1} \text{ or } \psi_{2,1}) Q_{k,1} + \sum_{i > 1} \psi_{2,i} Q_{k,i}$$

In which

- $G_{k,j}$ Permanent loads
- $Q_{k,1}$ Principal variable loads
- $Q_{k,1}$ Remaining variable loads
- ψ_1 combination factor for the frequent part of the variable loads
- ψ_2 combination factor for the quasi-permanent part of the variable loads

All partial safety factors are assigned a value of 1. The choice between $\psi_{1,1} Q_{k,1}$ or $\psi_{2,1} Q_{k,1}$ may differ from one country to another, and should be made as a function of the relevant accidental design combination. Eurocode prefers that the quasi-permanent factor be applied for all variable loads. The UK National Annex specifies that no additional actions need be considered as acting simultaneously with the fire load and that the representative value of the variable action Q_1 should be taken as the frequent value ($\psi_{1,1}, Q_1$).

5. Thermal response

With increasing gas temperature θ_g , the building structure's temperature will raise as well, however with a certain time delay. As steel cross-sections are characterized by high thermal conductivity and are relatively thin, such sections will warm up in a fairly uniform way. Concrete sections on the other hand are fairly massive and will usually experience a non-uniform temperature increase.

To describe heat transport within building materials, the laws of thermodynamics should be used. Depending on the material, further simplifications or assumptions can be introduced.

Generally speaking, heat transport within a construction element can be described by the Fourier differential equation:

$$\frac{\delta(\rho c \theta)}{\delta t} + \frac{\delta\left(\lambda \frac{\delta \theta}{\delta x}\right)}{\delta x} = 0 \quad (\text{in the } X - \text{direction})$$

With

- θ temperature in point x [$^{\circ}C$]
- ρ mass density [kg/m^3]
- c specific heat [J/kgK]
- λ thermal conductivity coefficient [W/mK]



From the above equation, it can easily be understood that the temperature variation within a structural element subjected to a fire hazard is mostly influenced by following thermal properties:

- thermal conductivity λ
- specific heat c

Specific heat c is usually combined with mass density ρ , more commonly referred to as heat capacity ρc . Both heat capacity ρc and thermal conductivity λ are strongly dependent on temperature for most common construction materials.

5.1. Heat transport in concrete

Given the fact that concrete sections are usually quite massive and that the material concrete has a fairly low thermal conductivity, the temperature variations within a concrete cross-section will evolve non-uniformly. It is therefore of the utmost importance to have access to a powerful analysis program, which implements the general Fourier differential equation to calculate temperature variations as a function of point coordinates within the cross-section.



Once the temperature distribution is known, a uniform temperature increase and a (linear) temperature gradient along both principal axes of the section is calculated. Uniform temperature

increase and temperature gradients will cause extra deformations in case those deformations are not restrained externally, or will cause internal forces and stresses in the opposite case. Temperature gradients may in particular give rise to significant bending moments.

The thermal properties of concrete materials are evaluated on the basis of the average temperature increase:

- specific heat c_c increases only moderately with increasing temperatures, but can approximately be assumed to have a constant value of 1000 J/kgK .
- thermal conductivity λ_c decreases with increasing temperatures, but can approximately be taken equal to $1,60 \text{ W/mK}$ (siliceous granulates), $1,30 \text{ W/mK}$ (calcareous granulates) or $0,80 \text{ W/mK}$ (light-weight granulates).

It is mentioned here that in case the concrete temperatures exceed 500°C , the concrete material is conventionally considered to contribute no longer to cross-section resistance (EN 1992-1-2 §4.2.3). This conventional approach is justified by the fact that at higher temperatures lumps of concrete material can almost explosively disconnect, and will therefore reduce the concrete section that remains effectively available during the fire hazard. This reduced cross-section will be used to evaluate reinforcement quantities. With this conventional approach, the part of the cross-section for which concrete temperatures have not exceeded 500°C will be assumed to have the same mechanical properties as at room temperature.

5.2. Heat transport in steel

Given the fact that thermal conductivity of steel is an order of magnitude higher than the thermal conductivity of concrete, the temperature distribution within a steel cross-section will be much more uniform than within a concrete cross-section. Therefore, it is mostly assumed from the start that temperature is uniformly distributed within a steel cross-section.

As a constant temperature is assumed over the entire cross-section, constrained deformations will be limited to longitudinal deformations and analytic formulae can be used to derive steel temperatures.

Indeed, the general Fourier differential equation is reduced to following equations for **unprotected** and **protected** steel sections (resp. EN 1993-1-2 §4.2.5.1 en §4.2.5.2):

$$\Delta\theta_a = k_{sh} \frac{1}{\rho_a \cdot c_a} \cdot \frac{A_m}{V} \cdot \dot{h}_{net} \cdot \Delta t \quad (1)$$

and

$$\Delta\theta_a = \frac{\lambda_p}{d_p} \cdot \frac{1}{\rho_a \cdot c_a} \cdot \frac{A_p}{V} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{\phi}{3}} \right) (\theta_g - \theta_a) \Delta t - (e^{\phi/10} - 1) \Delta\theta_g \quad (2)$$

The above finite difference equations describe temperature variation within a steel cross-section during a time step Δt and can numerically be solved if initial conditions and boundary conditions are known. In both cases, the ambient temperature is assumed to be 20°C .

Equation (2) accounts for heat storage in the protection layer and assumes the temperature of the protection layer's external surface to be equal to the ambient gas temperature.

Let us now look in more detail into the meaning of the terms appearing in the above equation.

5.2.1. Net heat flux \dot{h}_{net}

The net heat flux \dot{h}_{net} represents the energy per unity of time and surface, that is being absorbed by an element (EN 1990 §1.5.4.4). The heat flux \dot{h}_{net} depends on the selected fire model and on the thermal properties of the protection layer.

5.2.1.1. Net heat flux for unprotected steel sections

The heat flux \dot{h}_{net} for unprotected steel is determined by (EN 1990 §3.1):

$$\dot{h}_{net} = \underbrace{\dot{h}_{net,r}}_{\text{radiation}} + \underbrace{\dot{h}_{net,c}}_{\text{convection}}$$

With:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{h}_{net,r} &= 5,67 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot \Phi \cdot \varepsilon_{res} \cdot ((\theta_r - 273)^4 - (\theta_a - 273)^4) \\ \dot{h}_{net,c} &= \alpha_c (\theta_g - \theta_a) \end{aligned}$$

In which:

- Φ view factor [-]
 Φ is a geometric factor ≤ 1 ; for most practical cases, this factor can be assumed equal to 1.
- ε_{res} residual emissivity coefficient [-]
 ε_{res} is the product of the emissivity coefficient of steel ($\varepsilon_m = 0,7$) and of the environment ($\varepsilon_f = 1$).
- α_c convection coefficient [W/m^2K]
 α_c varies in practice between $25 W/m^2K$ (standard fire & external fire) and $50 W/m^2K$ (hydrocarbon fire). For natural fire conditions, $\alpha_c = 35W/m^2K$ may be assumed. (EN 1990 §3.2 and §3.3.1.1).
- θ_a steel surface temperature [$^{\circ}C$]
The value of θ_a is equal to the temperature from the previous calculation step.
- θ_r radiation temperature [$^{\circ}C$]
 θ_r can be taken equal to the ambient gas temperature θ_g during the fire hazard.
This temperature is given by the fire curve used for the analysis.
- θ_g ambient gas temperature with the element exposed to fire [$^{\circ}C$]

5.2.1.2. Net heat flux for protected steel sections

During the calculation of temperatures for protected steel sections, the effect of the fire protection materials must be accounted for. The total net heat flux \dot{h}_{net} for protected steel sections thus depends also on the thickness of the protection layer (d_p) and on the thermal properties of the protection material (λ_p, ρ_p, c_p).

Those properties are introduced into the finite difference equation (2) through the factor ϕ .

$$\phi = \frac{c_p \rho_p}{c_a \rho_a} d_p \frac{A_p}{V}$$

ϕ is called the participation factor of the protection layer.

In case the thermal capacity of the protection layer is low compared to the thermal capacity of steel ($\phi = 0$), then the next heat flux can be approximated as follows:


$$\dot{h}_{net} \approx \frac{\lambda_p}{d_p} (\theta_g - \theta_m)$$

Thus eq. (1) can approximately also be applied to protected steel sections.

5.2.2. Profile factor $\frac{A_m}{V}$

The profile factor $\frac{A_m}{V} [m^{-1}]$ reflects geometric aspects of the steel cross-section and the way it is exposed to fire. The profile factor is defined as the ratio of the section's circumference along which heat is injected into the section, to the section's surface. Cross-sections with a high profile factor will respond faster to a thermal load and will warm up more quickly. A uniform temperature distribution is then mostly justified. Steel cross-sections with a low profile factor will be more massive and have a non-neglectable thermal inertia. Temperature variations within the section itself can no longer be ignored.

The concept of the profile factor is illustrated in the figures below.



Some remarks with respect to those figures:

- for steel elements below a concrete floor, the heat transfer from steel to concrete is neglected.
- for elements with a boxed protection layer, the steel surface is taken equal to the inner surface of the protection layer box

The profile factor for protected steel elements is referred to as $\frac{A_p}{V}$. Formulae for $\frac{A_p}{V}$ are given in Table 4.3 of EN 1993-1-2.

The profile factor for both unprotected and protected steel elements is usually included in product catalogues.

5.2.3. Specific heat c_a of steel

The graph below illustrates the dependency of specific heat c_a [J/kgK] of steel on temperature (EN 1993-1-2 §3.4.1.2):




Figure 2: Specific heat of carbon steel in function of temperature (EN 1993-1-4 Figure 3.4)

This graph clearly illustrates the strong dependency of specific heat on steel temperature. A peak value of 5000 J/kg K is reached at a steel temperature of 735°C .

The specific heat of steel c_a is determined as follows (EN 1993-1-2 §3.4.1.2):

- for $20^\circ\text{C} \leq \theta_a < 600^\circ\text{C}$

$$c_a = 425 + 7,73 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \theta_a - 1,69 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot \theta_a^2 + 2,22 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot \theta_a^3$$

- for $600^\circ\text{C} \leq \theta_a < 735^\circ\text{C}$

$$c_a = 666 + \frac{13002}{738 - \theta_a}$$

- for $735^\circ\text{C} \leq \theta_a < 900^\circ\text{C}$

$$c_a = 545 + \frac{17820}{\theta_a - 731}$$

- for $900^\circ\text{C} \leq \theta_a$

$$c_a = 650$$

5.2.4. Shadow coefficient k_{sh}

The shadow coefficient k_{sh} is a reduction factor that can be used with the calculation of temperatures for unprotected steel sections exposed to a standard fire.


The shadow effect is caused by the fact that parts of the section are locally hidden from the heat source, and it is clearly related to the shape of the steel section. It is only applicable to open sections (e.g. I-sections), while it is not relevant for closed sections (e.g. hollow tubular sections). It can be shown that for I-sections the shadow effect can be accounted for accurately through the following relationship:

$$k_{sh} = \frac{0,9 \left[\frac{A_m}{V} \right]_{box}}{\frac{A_m}{V}}$$

In all other cases, the following relationship can be used for k_{sh} :

$$k_{sh} = \frac{\left[\frac{A_m}{V} \right]_{box}}{\frac{A_m}{V}}$$

$\left[\frac{A_m}{V} \right]_{box}$ is the contour value of the profile factor, and it is defined as the ratio of the imaginary circumference encompassing the steel section, to the steel section area.



For protected steel elements, the shadow coefficient is relatively unimportant and may $k_{sh} = 1$ be taken.

6. Mechanical response

The 'mechanical or elastic response' of a building structure exposed to a fire hazard, is understood to cover the complete set of mechanical actions to which the building is subjected during the entire duration of the hazard.

Indeed, as a result of temperature increase

- a building structure will expand. However, this will only rarely result in a uniform expansion of the structure.
- strength and stiffness properties of construction materials will deteriorate, thus invoking additional deformations.
- strength and stiffness properties will deteriorate such that the structure may not be capable any more to resist the design actions.

Next to the use of expensive and destructive fire tests in laboratory conditions to determine the mechanical response of a structure or of structural elements, it becomes more and more usual to derive mechanical response characteristics using analysis techniques.

For steel structures in particular, 3 design methods are distinguished:

- each individual structural element of the entire structure is considered separately, respecting dedicated boundary conditions for the individual elements;
- part of the entire structure is investigated, simulating the interaction with the remainder of structure through appropriate boundary conditions for the part under investigation;
- the entire structure is considered as a whole.

Eurocode presents three techniques to calculate mechanical response:

- through the use of **tables**; this option is applicable only to mixed steel-concrete type of structures;
- through simplified analysis models, including amongst other the '**critical temperature**'-method;
- through **advanced analysis models**, which are numerical models based on the finite element method or the finite difference method.

The last mentioned technique can be applied to all type of building structures and is used more and more as part of a modern Fire Safety Engineering approach.

The further discussion is limited to the impact of temperature increase on the mechanical properties of steel & concrete.

6.1. Reduced yield strength f_y and Young's modulus E for steel elements

As mentioned already, mechanical properties will deteriorate as temperature increases. This will more in particular be the case for yield strength and Young's modulus of carbon steels, showing a strong decrease with increasing temperatures.

This relationship can be described analytically and is represented graphically in the figures given below. The factors $k_{y,\theta}$ and $k_{E,\theta}$ represent the reduction of the yield strength and Young's modulus at temperature θ compared to these at room temperature.




Figure 3: Reduction factors for stress-strain diagram of carbon steel

It can be seen that carbon steel strength & stiffness will only decrease significantly for temperatures exceeding 400°C. At 600°C, stiffness is reduced to 31% of the original value while strength has been reduced to approximately 47%.

6.2. Reduced compressive strength for concrete elements

The variation of compressive strength f_{ck} as a function of temperature is documented in the graph below.




Figure 4: Factor $k_c(\theta)$ for taking into account the reduction of compressive strength f_{ck} of concrete (EN 1992-1-2 Figure 4.1 and Table 3.1)

The slower decrease of compressive strength for siliceous concrete is due to the lower thermal conductivity of the granulates.

Note that the strength of such types of concrete is reduced to 50% at a temperature of 600°C. It will only happen rarely however that concrete temperatures will rise to this level.

6.3. Thermal expansion $\Delta l/l$ of steel and concrete

The more advanced analysis models require, next to the mechanical properties, the thermal expansion properties to be known. Thermal expansion coefficients of steel and concrete are presented graphically below. For numerical data, reference is made to EN 1992-1-2 and EN 1993-1-2.




Figure 5: Thermal expansion $\Delta l/l$ of steel and concrete in function of temperature (EN 1993-1-2 Figure 3.3 and EN 1992-1-2 Figure 3.5)

For carbon steel, the thermal expansion coefficient can be considered constant over the entire temperature range. For concrete, the thermal expansion coefficient first increases with temperature, after which it remains constant for higher temperatures.

7. Fire Resistance Analysis scenario

The first step is the selection or definition of a **fire curve**. The user either chooses one of the three predefined nominal fire curves (standard fire, external fire or hydrocarbon fire), or defines a temperature-time curve (parametric fire). The requested fire resistance must also be specified, in other words the time window during which the building structure must maintain its function during a fire hazard.

Based on those parameters, the temperature variation within the compartment during the imposed time window is known. The **thermal loads** can be derived.

Following the ambient gas temperature increase, the temperature of the building structure will also raise albeit, however with a time delay. The next step will therefore imply the calculation of temperatures within the structural building elements during the time period in which they are exposed to a fire hazard, or in other words the calculation of the building **structure's thermal response**.

- For steel elements, only a minor error is introduced by considering the temperature to be uniform over the entire section. This allows the general differential equation to be reduced to a fairly straightforward set of finite difference equations. Starting from an initial temperature of 20°C, those equations then allow to assess steel temperature step by step.
- For concrete building elements, a different approach will be required. Concrete cross-sections are typically more solid than steel sections and will have a considerably higher thermal inertia, implying temperature variations over the entire section. In addition to a global temperature increase of the section, the aforementioned temperature variation will also result in a (linear) temperature gradient with respect to both principal axes of inertia.

The temperature increase and gradients will next be applied as thermal loads on the structural elements exposed to the heat source. This accidental load A_d is then combined with other mechanical loads to define design load combinations.

Let's now have a closer look into the **mechanical response of the structure** to the above temperature changes:

- A number of material properties, among which Young's modulus E and the thermal expansion coefficient α , will decrease with increasing temperature. The global elastic analysis, which is performed for the accidental fire load combinations, will therefore need to take those reduced material characteristics into account. If you use the simplified method, followed by most design codes, all load combination, including the accidental fire combination, can be calculated with the material properties on room temperature. In other words, with no reduction.
- As a result of the external deformation constraints, internal forces and stresses will come into existence within the building structure. In case of a steel structure, constrained deformations will be limited to longitudinal deformations, for concrete structures the temperature increase & gradients will give rise to longitudinal & bending deformations.


Once the global elastic analysis has been completed, the internal forces will serve as a basis for the verification of steel sections or for the calculation of reinforcement quantities.

- Resistance and buckling verification of steel members will be conforming to EN 1993-1-2 § 4.2, and will be entirely analogous to a verification at room temperature conditions. The yield strength is strongly dependent on temperature, so that high temperature levels will have a major impact on the verification results. The reduced material properties (f_y , section after fire) are calculated based on the maximum steel temperature within the entire time interval.
- For concrete sections, reinforcement quantities are calculated on the basis of a reduced concrete section and deteriorated steel characteristics. All other properties will be taken identical to the ones at room temperature (20°C). For both concrete and reinforcement steel, the partial safety factor is taken equal to 1.

Remark:

The design temperature for a particular material is not necessarily equal to the temperature at the time instant corresponding to the required fire resistance curve.

For a nominal fire curve, the lowest resistance will be found when the highest temperatures are reached, in other words at the time instant corresponding to the required fire resistance. In case of a parametric fire the environment temperature may have significantly decreased at that time instant.



For steel elements, one only needs to look at the maximum steel temperature within the entire time interval. With decreasing temperature, the mechanical properties of constructive steel grades will again evolve to their original values while the thermal load will decrease again.

While the global elastic analysis is performed for the time instant at which the thermal action is at its highest level, reinforcement quantities are calculated taking into account the temperature at which concrete resistance is at its lowest.




Figure 6: Flow chart fire design Diamonds

It should be noted that Diamonds always perform a fire safety analysis at element level. In this particular situation, it is specified by the relevant standards that the thermal loads should not be considered during elastic analysis.

8. References

- BS-EN Eurocode EN 1990: Basis of structural design
- BS-EN Eurocode EN 1991-1-2: Actions on structures - Part 1-2: General actions - Actions on structures exposed to fire - UK Annex
- BS-EN Eurocode EN 1992-1-2: Design of concrete structures - Part 1-2: General rules - Structural fire design
- BS-EN Eurocode EN 1993-1-2: Design of steel structures - Part 1-2: General rules - Structural fire design
- J.M. Franssen en P. Vila Real. (2010), *Fire Design of Steel Structures Eurocode 1 Part 1-2*, ECCS Eurocode Design Manuals

lateral buckling restraint - attaches - steel check - creep - charges climatiques - dynamic analysis - lateral buckling - brandweerstandsanalyse - timber - 1st order - verstijvers - buisverbinding - diseño de planos de armaduras - pandeo lateral - verbindingen - shear connection - verificación - armatures longitudinales - pórtico - unión base columna - voorontwerp - unión tubular - haunch - connexion moment - cimbras - vérification acier - unity check - Eurocode 2 - mesh - retaining wall - raidisseur - Eurocode 3 - longitudes de pandeo - connections - ACI 138 - acero - 2nd ordre - portal frame - Eurocode 8 - andamios - kip - dwarskrachtverbinding - BS 8110 - dalle de fondation - seismische analyse - armaduras longitudinales - BIM - gelaste verbinding - 2de orde - buckling - funderingszool - poutre sur plusieurs appuis - maillage - malla - uniones - 2D raamwerken - fire resistance analysis - voiles - cracked deformation - gescheurde doorbuiging - longueurs de flambement - pandeo - reinforcement - unity check - cantonera - dynamische analyse - hout - ossatures 3D - koudgevormde profielen - placa de extreme - 1er orden - continuous beam - connexion soudée - momentverbinding - praktische wapening - renforts au déversement - fluencia - estribos - déformation fissurée - EHE - beugels - Eurocódigo 3 - platine de bout - análisis dinámico - column base plate - kruip - rigid link - welded connection - charpente métallique - moment connections - estructuras 2D - kniestuk - assemblage métallique - 3D raamwerken - second ordre - beam grid - cargas climáticas - Eurocode 2 - Eurocode 5 - wall - deformación fisurada - lien rigide - enlace rígido - 2D frames - estructuras 3D - éléments finis - vloerplaat - steel connection - scheurvorming - integrated connection design - armatures pratiques - analyse sismique - nieve y viento - practical reinforcement - charges mobiles - dalle - wapening - perfiles conformados en frío - Eurocode 3 - connexion tubulaire - unión a momento - 3D frames - treillis de poutres - roof truss - practical reinforcement design - portique - kipsteunen - análisis sísmico - Eurocode 8 - seismic analysis - B.A.E.L 91 - uniones atornilladas - bolts - ossatures 2D - eindige elementen - losa de cimentación - restricciones para el pandeo lateral - optimisation - wand - kniklengtes - end plate - dakspanten - kolomvoetverbinding - stirrups - acier - staalcontrole - cálculo de uniones integrado - paroi - dessin du plan de ferrillage - stiffeners - mobiele lasten - Eurocódigo 8 - Eurocódigo 5 - longitudinal reinforcement - doorlopende liggers - rigidizador - beton armé - fluage - CTE - connexion pied de poteau - langswapening - connexions - hormigón - neige et vent - elementos

BuildSoft

Hundelgemsesteenweg 244-1

9820 Merelbeke (Belgium)

+32 (0)9 252 66 28

info@buildsoft.eu

www.buildsoft.eu



finitos - armaduras - cold formed steel - jarret - uittekenen wapening - puente grúa - analyse dynamique - flambement - keerwanden - optimisation - steel - cercha - 2º orden - slab on grade foundation - entramado de vigas - Eurocode 5 - prédimensionnement - multi span beam - bouten - armatures - floor slab - poutre continue - pared - staal - 1er ordre - NEN 6770-6771 - connexion cisaillement - losa - déversement - viga continua - predimensionering - 1ste orde - unión metálica - CM 66 - madera - análisis resistencia al fuego - verbindingen - 2nd order - bois - Eurocode 2 - profilés formés à froid - verificación acero - predesign - unión soldada - fisuración - beton - muro de contención - optimalisatie - foundation pads - fissuration - concrete - AISC-LRFD - HCSS - assemblage métallique - Eurocode 3 - viga con varios apoyos - armaduras prácticas - balkenroosters - unión a cortante - buckling length - boulons - cracking - Eurocode 8 - knik - Eurocode 2 - radier - eindplaat - Eurocódigo 2 - FEM - tornillos - NEN 6720 - moving loads - balk op meerdere steunpunten - cargas móviles - funderingsplaat - étriers - anlye resistance au feu - cercha - moment connections - estructuras 2D - kniestuk - assemblage métallique - dalle