In this article, we highlight a report from CROSS newsletter 54, on temporary stability in a steel-framed building.

789: Temporary stability of steel-framed building

Report

This event concerns the temporary stability of a four-storey steel-framed structure with precast concrete planks and a structural topping. A reporter says that during the erection of the structure, the contractor had provided temporary steel bracing to a number of the bays to stabilise the structure and prevent it from swaying. The bracing was in the form of flat steel plates arranged diagonally.

While attending site, the visiting structural engineer found one of the bracing members unbolted at the base of a column. The column which the bracing should have been fixed to was located at the perimeter of the building where the outside ground level was lower than the internal slab level. To protect operatives from falls, edge protection had been provided. But when installing the edge protection, the bracing had been unbolted.

The situation was plainly unsafe and indicated both a disregard for safety by the operative who unbolted the bracing and a lack of control, supervision and oversight from the main contractor.

For the same project, several concerns were found about the temporary works design for the temporary stability of the frame:

1) During the project, the engineer had communicated that until the concrete planks were grouted together, the diaphragm for distributing the lateral loads should be assumed to be incomplete. The implication of this was that the stability of the structure was the responsibility of the contractor until the diaphragm was complete.

2) The steel frame subcontractor was responsible for both the erection of the steel frame and the landing of the precast concrete planks. While they were willing to take on design responsibility for the temporary stability of the steel frame without planks, they passed on design responsibility for the steel frame when the precast planks had been landed to the main contractor.

This division of responsibility was unexpected and led to confusion between the two temporary works designers, with the steel frame subcontractor using moment fixity from the beam end-plate connections and the main contractor assuming perfectly pinned beam–column connections that required cross-bracing. The latter approach eventually proved to be very conservative and had to be revised to be cost-effective.

CROSS Panel comments

Structural-Safety has always advocated that there should always be one designer with overall responsibility for stability. While this normally applies to preserving stability of the finished structure, the principle ought equally to apply during construction when arguably the risk of an instability failure is highest.

The second lesson is that splitting design responsibility for temporary works inevitability provides opportunity for confusion, but thankfully did not endanger safety in this case.
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WHAT IS CROSS?
Confidential Reporting on Structural Safety (CROSS) is a confidential reporting scheme established to capture and share lessons learned from structural safety issues which might not otherwise have had public recognition, with the aim of preventing future failures.
Analysis of the reported safety issues can provide insight into how the safety concerns or events occurred and spur the development of measures to improve safety.

WHAT SHOULD BE REPORTED TO CROSS?
Structural failures and collapses, or safety concerns about the design, construction or use of structures should be reported. Near misses, or observations relating to failures or collapses (which have not been uncovered through formal investigation) are also welcomed. Reports do not have to be about current activities as long as they are relevant.
Small-scale events are important – they can be the precursors to more major failures.
No concern is too small to be reported and conversely nothing is too large.
Your report might relate to a specific experience, or it could be based on a series of experiences indicating a trend which may require industry or regulatory action.
Submit a report to CROSS at www.structural-safety.org/confidential-reporting/submit-report/.

RECEIVE THE NEWSLETTER
Readers can register to receive the CROSS newsletters at www.structural-safety.org/subscribe.
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UltraZED™ Purlin System
Our UltraZED™ purlin and side rail system – the world’s most advanced profile system – is engineered to ensure ease of use, outstanding load bearing capacity, significant weight savings typically between 6%-13% and impressive levels of sustainability.

Steel Framing Solutions
Our steel framing solutions combine the benefits of cold roll form manufacturing with our outstanding technical, structural and design abilities. This enables us to deliver industry standard and bespoke steel framing for projects of all sizes, across every sector.

Learn more about DesignSuite Pro at our upcoming seminar on Tuesday 14th May at Institution of Structural Engineers, 47-58 Bastwick Street, South London. Get tickets now from Eventbrite.